## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

KATANA SILICON TECHNOLOGIES
LLC,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

S

Case No. 1:22-cv-00852-ADA

V.

GLOBALFOUNDRIES, INC.,
GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S. INC., and
GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S. 2 LLC,

Defendants.

## OMNIBUS PRETRIAL CONFERENCE ORDER

On May 13, 2025, the Court held a pre-trial conference in the above-captioned matter.

ECF No. 258. As explained below, the Court continued some Motions to allow time for the Parties to complete *Markman* briefing. The Court hereby memorializes its rulings in the below table:

Motion Title	The Court's Order
Plaintiff's Opposed Motion to Exclude Certain	DENIED.
Opinions and Testimony of Expert Stephen L.	
Becker, Ph.D., Dkt. 143	
Plaintiff's Opposed Motion to Exclude Certain	DENIED.
Opinions and Testimony of Expert John C.	
Bravman, Ph.D., Dkt. 145	
Plaintiff's Opposed Motion for Leave to File to	GRANTED.
Serve the Expert Declaration of Dr. Robert Akl, Dkt.	
184	
Plaintiff's Motion to Strike New Evidence and	DENIED.
Arguments in Defendants' Sur-Sur-Replies, Dkt.	
241	
Defendants' Opposed Motion for Partial Summary	DENIED.
Judgment that Plaintiff's Claims Are Barred by	
Defendants' Implied Licenses to the Asserted	
Patents, Dkt. 163	
Defendants' Opposed Motion to Exclude Certain	DENIED. The Court ORDERS that
Opinions of Mr. Stephen Dell Regarding Damages,	Plaintiff is granted leave to optionally
Dkt. 146	serve supplemental or amended reports

	from its experts regarding apportionment. Plaintiff's apportionment reports are due by May 23, and Defendants' apportionment rebuttal reports are due by May 30. <sup>1</sup> If supplemental or amended reports are served, each side may a 2-hour deposition.
Defendants' Opposed Motion for Partial Summary	Motion pending. The Parties were
Judgment of No Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,861, Dkt. 147	permitted to file a brief of no more than 10 pages each to address the meaning of "wherein the contact is in contact with the source/drain at a source/drain surface defining a second surface" and "slopes downwards to a corresponding device separation region" terms within three days of the Pre-Trial Conference. The following Monday, the Court held a <i>Markman</i> hearing.
	The Court ORDERS that Plaintiff is granted leave to optionally serve a supplemental expert report in light of the <i>Markman</i> hearing on May 19, and Defendants are granted leave to serve a rebuttal report. The Parties should negotiate a schedule for these supplemental reports, beginning after the Court issues its written claim construction ruling.
	Any expert providing supplemental reports may be deposed up to two hours or as the parties otherwise agree.
Defendants' Opposed Motion to Exclude and Strike	DENIED.
Certain Opinions of Dr. Kelin Kuhn, Dkt. 149	
Defendants' Opposed Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents, Dkt. 150	Motion pending.
Defendants' Opposed Motion for Partial Summary	MOOTED with respect to the '903 Patent.
Judgment of No Damages Prior to First Notice Asserted Patents for Failure to Mark, Dkt. 164	GRANTED with respect to the '861 Patent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties have since filed a joint notice to extend the deadline for Defendants' rebuttal reports to June 4, 2025. Dkt. 272.

**SIGNED** this 27th day of May, 2025.

ALAN D ALBRIGHT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE